

### Presentation Review (MSc Colloquium)

Name of student	St. Nr.	Course code	EC	Date

### Structure of the presentation

Aspect	Grade	Comments
Does the presentation contain an introduction, indicating the framework of the subject? Is the introduction adjusted to the audience's level of proficiency?	Introduction	
Is the (true) problem clearly stated, independent of the solution or elaboration?	Problem def.	
Is the line of argumentation clear throughout the presentation? Has a balance been found between details, equations, figures and line of argumentation?	Elaboration	
Does the presentation have a concise yet informative series of conclusions summarizing results and/or prospects? Are recommendations for future work given?	Conclusions	

### Presentation skills

Aspect	Grade	Comments
Is the presentation audible and lively presented?	Oral pres.	
How do you judge the presentation: style and pace of speech, use of language, attitude, facing the audience rather than the blackboard?	Style	
Have audiovisual aids (blackboard, beamer, video etc) been used supportively (well organized, no excessive information, adequate use of figures and colors)?	Facilities	

### General

Aspect	Grade	Comments
What is the general impression of the presentation? Does the presentation provide a sufficient impression of the work?	General	
Does the presenter raise the impression of mastering the subject or is the presenter insecure?	Proficiency	
How does the presenter respond to the questions after the presentation?	Discussion	
Does the presenter stick to the allotted time (20-25 minutes)?	Allotted time	

Name of reviewer	Grade of reviewer	Final Grade

Notice that grades for each aspect are given in the scale from 1.0 to 10.0. Where 1.0 is very poor, 6.0 is satisfactory and 10.0 is excellent. The final mark is a number on the scale between 1-10 rounded to the nearest 0.5.